## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

R. CATHY REARDO herself and all similar individuals,		) No. 2:08-cv-01730 ) Judge Mark R. Hornak ) Electronically Filed )				
Plainti v.	ff,					
CLOSETMAID COR	PORATION,	) )				
Defend	lant.	) )				
	DEFENDANT'S	PROPOSED VERDICT SLIP				
Defendant Cl	osetMaid Corporati	ion ("ClosetMaid"), by and through its undersigned				
attorneys, and in acco	ordance with the Cou	art's December 6, 2013 Order, files the within Proposed				
Verdict Slip.						
1. <u>Disclo</u> A.	less than \$100 and a	atutory damages per class member do you award? (No no more than \$1,000).  Question 2.A.				
2. <u>Ms. Ro</u> A.	Did Ms. Reardon ClosetMaid did not	ne Action Sub-Class Claim.  n prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the provide her with a copy of her consumer report and a test under the Fair Credit Reporting Act before taking the ot hiring her?				
	( ) Yes	( ) No				

If your	answer	is "	YES,"	your	Verdict	is f	for l	Ms.	Reardon	on	this	claim
Please p	oroceed	to	Questic	on 2.E	3.							

If you answer "NO," your Verdict is for ClosetMaid on this claim. Please proceed to Question 3.

В.	Did Mr. Reardon prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ClosetMaid willfully failed to comply with Section 1681b(b)(3) when it did not provide Ms. Reardon with a copy of her consumer report and a description of rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act before taking the adverse action of not hiring her						
	( ) Yes ( ) No						
	If your answer is "YES," please go to Question 2.C.						
	If you answer "NO," please go to Question 3.						
C.	What amount of statutory damages do you award to Ms. Reardon? (No less than \$100 and no more than \$1,000).						
	Answer: \$						
	Please proceed to Question 3.						
Pre-A	dverse Action Subclass Claims <sup>1</sup> .						
A.	For each member of the Pre-Adverse Action subclass, please answer th following questions:						
	(i) Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that 's [insert name] consumer report actually play a role in ClosetMaid's decision-making process?						
	( ) Yes ( ) No						

If your answer is "YES," please proceed to Question 3.A.(ii)

3.

ClosetMaid proposes to restate the progression of interrogatories in Section 3 for each member of the subclass by name.

If you answer "NO," your Verdict is for ClosetMaid for this subclass member. Please proceed to Question 3A.(i) for the next sub-class

	memb	er.
	(ii)	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ''s [insert name] consumer report had a determinative influence, that is, was it the but-for cause, of ClosetMaid's decision not to him him/her?
	( )	Yes ( ) No
		r answer is "YES," your Verdict is for the named subclass member. proceed to Question 3.B.(iii)
	If you memb memb	* ''
	(iii)	Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that ClosetMaid willfully failed to comply with Section 1681b(b)(3) when it did not provide [insert name] with a copy of his/her consumer report and a description of rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act before taking the adverse action of not hiring him/her.
	( )	Yes ( ) No
	•	r answer is "YES," your Verdict is for the named subclass member. proceed to Question 3.B.
	subcla	answer "NO," your Verdict is for ClosetMaid regarding the named ss member. Please return to Question 3.A.(i). for the next named ss member.
В.	the ev 1681b copy of	tave determined that Plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of vidence that ClosetMaid willfully failed to comply with Section (b)(3) when it did not provide [insert name] with a of his/her consumer report and a description of rights under the Fair Reporting Act before taking the adverse action of not hiring er.
		amount of statutory damages do you award to [insert? (No less than \$100 and no more than \$1,000).

-3-

Answer: \$	<u> </u>

Please return to Question 3.A.(i). for the next named subclass member.

Respectfully submitted,

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

/s/ W. Scott Hardy
W. Scott Hardy, Esq.
PA I.D. No. 79225
scott.hardy@ogletreedeakins.com

Philip K. Kontul, Esq. PA I.D. No. 94156 philip.kontul@ogletreedeakins.com

One PPG Place, Suite 1900 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-1237 Telephone: (412) 394-3333 Facsimile: (412) 232-1799

Counsel for ClosetMaid Corporation

Dated: December 13, 2013